

**Support  
Microwave News,  
the independent  
source for news and  
opinion on the health  
effects of EMFs and  
RF radiation**

Microwave News is now distributed free of charge, so we need your support more than ever. Please send us what you can. See the form on p.2.

Thank you!

The Web version of this article has useful links to people, organizations and publications.

MICROWAVE NEWS • ISSN 0275-6595 • 155 East 77th Street, New York, NY 10075 • (212) 517-2800 • Fax: (212) 734-0316 • E-mail: <info@microwavenews.com> • Web: <www.microwavenews.com> • Editor and Publisher: Louis Slesin, PhD; Assistant Editor: Danny Nassre • Copyright © 2008 by Louis Slesin • Reproduction in any form is forbidden without written permission.

## “Science” Magazine Gets It Wrong On DNA Breaks

**September 3...** Making sweeping statements about scientific knowledge is always challenging, especially when writing about an unfamiliar field of research. Take, for example, this opening sentence from an article, “Fraud Charges Cast Doubt on Claims of DNA Damage from Cell Phone Fields” by Gretchen Vogel in this week’s *Science* magazine:

“The only two peer-reviewed scientific papers showing that electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from cell phones can cause DNA breakage are at the center of a misconduct controversy at the Medical University of Vienna.”

Sweeping ... and wrong.

Not counting the **two papers** from Hugo Rüdiger’s lab in Vienna, here are 11 papers that point to changes in DNA breaks following exposures to cell phone radiation:

- R.J. Aitken et al., “Impact of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Radiation on DNA Integrity in the Male Germline,” *International Journal of Andrology*, 28, pp.171-179, 2005 (Australia);
- W. Baohong et al., “Studying the Synergistic Damage Effects Induced by 1.8GHz Radiofrequency Field Radiation (RFR) with Four Chemical Mutagens on Human Lymphocyte DNA Using Comet Assay *in Vitro*,” *Mutation Research*, 578, pp.149-157, 2005 (China);
- W. Baohong et al., “Evaluating the Combinative Effects on Human Lymphocyte DNA Damage Induced by Ultraviolet Ray C Plus 1.8GHz Microwaves Using Comet Assay *in Vitro*,” *Toxicology*, 232, pp.311-316, 2007 (China);
- G. Gandhi and Anita, “Genetic Damage in Mobile Phone Users: Some Preliminary Findings,” *Indian Journal of Human Genetics*, 11, pp.99-104, 2005 (India);
- J. Kim et al., “*In Vitro* Assessment of Clastogenicity of Mobile-Phone Radiation (835 MHz) Using the Alkaline Comet Assay and Chromosomal Aberration Test,” *Environmental Toxicology*, 23, pp.319-327, 2008 (Korea);
- S. Lixia et al., “Effects of 1.8 GHz Radiofrequency Field on DNA Damage and Expression of Heat Shock Protein 70 in Human Lens Epithelial Cells,” *Mutation Research*, 602, pp.135-142, 2006 (China);
- J. Phillips et al., “DNA Damage in Molt-4 T-Lymphoblastoid Cells Exposed to Cellular Telephone Radiofrequency Fields *in Vitro*,”

(continued on p.2)

*Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics*, 45, pp.103-110, 1998 (U.S.);

• T. Nikolova et al., "Electromagnetic Fields Affect Transcript Levels of Apoptosis-Related Genes in Embryonic Stem Cell-Derived Neural Progenitor Cells," *The FASEB Journal*, 156, pp.495-502, 2001 (Germany);

• K. Yao et al., "Effect of Superposed Electromagnetic Noise on DNA Damage of Lens Epithelial Cells Induced by Microwave Radiation," *Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 49, pp.2009-2015, 2008 (China);

• K. Yao et al., "Electromagnetic Noise Inhibits Radiofrequency Radiation-Induced DNA Damage and Reactive Oxygen Species Increase in Human Lens Epithelial Cells," *Molecular Vision*, 14, pp.964-969, 2008 (China);

• D. Zhang et al., "Effects of GSM 1800 MHz Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields on DNA Damage in Chinese Hamster Lung Cells," *Chinese Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 40, pp.149-152, 2006 (China, in Chinese).

Some of these experiments investigated the effects of cell phone radiation alone while others looked at synergistic action with other agents. Some found large effects, while others saw small ones. Most found increased DNA breaks, while Jerry Phillips measured both increases and decreases. Nevertheless, they all reported DNA changes with cell phone radiation.

In addition, others have shown chromosomal changes following exposure to cell phone radiation. For instance:

• L. Manti et al., "Effects of Modulated Microwave Radiation at Cellular Telephone Frequency (1.95 GHz) on X-Ray-Induced Chromosome Aberrations in Human Lymphocytes *in Vitro*," *Radiation Research*, 169, pp.575-583, 2008 (Italy);

• M. Mashevich et al., "Exposure of Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes to Electromagnetic Fields Associated with Cellular Phones Leads to Chromosomal Instability,"

*Bioelectromagnetics*, 24, pp.82-90, 2003 (Israel);

• P. Sykes et al., "Effect of Exposure to 900 MHz Radiofrequency Radiation on Intrachromosomal Recombination in pKZ1 Mice," *Radiation Research*, 156, pp.495-502, 2001 (Australia).

And finally, a number of researchers have documented DNA changes at other, similar microwave frequencies but which are not used in mobile phone networks. For instance:

• H. Lai and N.P. Singh, "Acute Low-Intensity Microwave Exposure Increases DNA Single-Strand Breaks in Rat Brain Cells," *Bioelectromagnetics*, 16, pp.207-210, 1995 (U.S.);

• H. Lai and N.P. Singh, "Single- and Double-Strand DNA Breaks in Rat Brain Cells After Acute Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Radiation," *International Journal of Radiation Biology*, 69, pp.513-521, 1996 (U.S.);

• R. Paulraj and J. Behari, "Single-Strand DNA Breaks in Rat Brain Cells Exposed to Microwave Radiation," *Mutation Research*, 596, pp.76-80, 2006 (India);

• S. Sarkar et al., "Effect of Low-Power Microwave on the Mouse Genome: A Direct DNA Analysis," *Mutation Research*, 320, pp.141-147, 1994 (India);

• M. Zhang et al., "Study of Low-Intensity 2450 MHz Microwave Exposure Enhancing the Genotoxic Effects of Mitomycin C Using Micronucleus Test and Comet Assay *in Vitro*," *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences*, 15, pp.283-290, 2002 (China);

• M. Zhang et al., "Effects of 2450 MHz Microwave on DNA Damage Induced by Three Chemical Mutagens *in Vitro*," *Chinese Journal of Industrial Hygiene and Occupational Diseases*, 21, pp.266-269, 2003 (China, in Chinese).

Sources tell us that there are more papers now in the publication pipeline.

None of this should be interpreted as indicating that

---

## Please Help Keep Microwave News On the Web

We now accept contributions through credit cards and PayPal on our web site.

Enclosed is my contribution of

\$25.00    \$50.00    \$100.00    \$250.00    \$500.00    \$1,000.00    Other \$ \_\_\_\_

**Suggested Contributions: Individuals \$50–\$100; Corporations and Institutions \$250–\$500.**

**Microwave News, 155 East 77<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3D, New York, NY 10075, USA**

**☎: +1 (212) 517-2800, Fax: +1 (212) 734-0316; E-mail: <info@microwavenews.com>**

---

the cell phone–DNA issue is closed. Others have failed to see such genetic effects and the jury is still out. But, clearly, to state that only two papers have shown DNA breaks is grossly misleading—no, simply wrong.

We have been closely following the University of

Vienna story for some months. The *Science* story reveals but a glimpse of some of the maneuvering going on behind the scenes; in this case, manipulating the media to influence public opinion. At the moment, we are still trying to sort out who is doing what.

---

## **“Science” Concedes Error on DNA Breaks**

**November 27...** *Science* has conceded the error: More than one lab has in fact shown that cell phone radiation can cause DNA breaks.

Back in August, reporter Gretchen Vogel claimed that Hugo Rüdiger at the University of Vienna medical school was the only one. Now, Vogel allows that a team from Zhejiang University in Hangzhou, China, had **observed** DNA breaks in cells exposed to GSM radiation (see Yao et al., *Molecular Vision*, in our list on p.2).

In a letter published in the magazine’s November 28 issue, Vini Khurana, a neurosurgeon in Canberra, Australia, advises that, “[T]here are many other peer-reviewed papers from laboratories in at least seven countries, including the United States, showing that cell phone or similar low-intensity EMFs can break DNA or modulate it structurally.” (Others have submitted similar complaints to the magazine’s editors.)

In her response, Vogel writes, “My intention was not to imply that there were only two papers showing any effects of EMFs on DNA, but the citations listed [by Khurana] do not directly contradict the quoted sentence.” That sentence which leads her August 29 article begins: “The only two peer-reviewed scientific papers showing that [EMFs] from cell phones can cause DNA breakage...”

In an exchange with *Microwave News*, Vogel drew a sharp distinction between DNA “damage” and “breakage,” which, she said, allowed her to exclude many other papers, particularly the one by **John Aitken**.

Khurana made international headlines earlier this year when he predicted that cell phone radiation would turn out to be a worse public-health disaster than either smoking or asbestos (see our **April 10, 2008 post**).